**COMMON REPORT on PILOT TESTING**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NAME OF THE PARTNER ORGANIZATION** | ARID, SAVOIR-FAIRE & CO, Kisleptek, Spektrum Educational Center Foundation, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague | |
| **LOCATION OF THE EVENT(S)** | Face to face | Online |
| **DATE AND TIMING** | 12-30.11.2021 | |
| **NO. OF PARTICIPANTS** | 85 | |
| **TOTAL No. of HRS DELIVERED** | 31,5 | |
| **AGE RANGE OF THE PARTICIPANTS** | 20-70 | |

**Please present your event, write a short (max. 50-100 summary) for each of the below listed aspects:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **BACKGROUND AND PROFILE OF PARTICIPANTS** | Participants in the project:   * students * farmers * agricultural advisors * trainers * food producers * bakers * craftsmen * - entrepreneurs |
| **HOW DID YOU RECRUIT**  **PARTICIPANTS?** | Information was spread through:   * Universities * Network * Posters and Social Media * Personal invitations |
| **PROFILE OF TRAINEES** | * Trainees with experience in working as a rural facilitator * Small producers * Leader group representatives * Adult educators * Environmental engineers * Gardening engineers * University teachers * Phd students |
| **SUMMARY OF**  **THE PILOT,**  **EMPHASIZING STRONG POINTS** | The main obstacle to running the meeting was COVID-19. Nevertheless, everything worked out well. To summarize each report: the participants were very satisfied, they think that the training materials are needed, they bring a lot and they keep the highest quality. They also think that the knowledge contained in the handbook is easy to learn and the level is varied.  The pilot course gave a very unique opportunity to these professionals to brainstorm and discuss on topics which are important from the point of view of SFSC in Transylvania.  In general, the feedback was very positive – the participants expressed the feeling, that the whole course and its materials were presented in a fresh way that helps to understand the subject effectively and quickly. |
| **METHODS OF COLLECTING FEEDBACK FROM THE PARTICIPANTS AND SUMMARY OF THEIR**  **FEEDBACK** | * KAHOOT ONLINE TOOL * SURVEY * CONVERSATION * EMAIL AND MESSENGER   **CONTENT OF RF TRAINING:**   * is considered to be useful, * cover the aspects expected by the participants partially * is easy to reach and comprehensive * discuss partially important topics   **HANDBOOK:**   * is appropriate * covers all necessary topics * has an attractive design * online marketing has to be included * the module related to Time management present mainly about how to organize a work process, there are only few things relevant for Time management.   **PILOT COURSE STRUCTURE:**   * the structure was good * the workload was appropriate * the language used during the training was easy to understand * the quality of explanation of the subject matter are very good * the utility of materials designed to support teaching are good * the quality of technological interactivity was very good * the quality of instructional tasks and practice exercises are good * the opportunities for deeper learning is good   **OVERALL EXPERIENCE AND FEEDBACK:**   * The RF training materials are quite helpful and supportive * The examples and testimonials help to understand the content quite a lot * The facilitator has to be a team * The facilitator has to be a mediator, not a leader * Community has to be created * Involving young people is essential. |
| **CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CHANGES/MODIFICATIONS/**  **CORRECTIONS** | In general, all participants liked the materials and agreed that they would be useful. They also agreed that the combination of all the material is large in terms of information overload, during such a short time.  Recommendation from feedback was to shorten given materials, add more concrete examples from practice, and to sum-up main points from every module after each presentation. Advice from participants concerned also case study, they wanted something like that to be included in materials and in numerous forms.  All in all, the idea of the training for rural facilitators was welcomed and supported from all of the participants.  The general conclusion is that the satisfaction of the whole content is very high. |

**National reports are available on the Google Drive under**

<https://drive.google.com/drive/u/1/folders/1Vopj3Eej0fIHDFjav8wmRr0zxw1mcFb2>